

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/099,643	03/15/2002	George Koppich	66329/14868	66329/14868 2223	
23380	7590 11/16/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
TUCKER, ELLIS & WEST LLP			JENKINS, KIMBERLY YVETTE		
925 EUCLII	INGTON BUILDING DAVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CLEVELAND, OH 44115-1475			2635		
			DATE MAILED: 11/16/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	K				
	10/099,643	KOPPICH, GEOR	GE				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Kimberly Jenkins	2635					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	γ. ommunication. , ΄				
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 M	arch 2002.						
	<u> </u>						
· <u> </u>	<del>_</del>						
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453.O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 March 2002</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			• •				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).					
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ol>	s have been received.						
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li></ol>	s have been received in Applicati	on No					
<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau</li> </ol>	•	ed in this National	Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, ,,	ed.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date 15 March 2002.</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		)-152)				
	• — —						

Art Unit: 2635

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Drawings

1. Figure 1 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.121(d)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, then the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:-pg. 5, line 9 "...part o the keypad 20". Examiner believes that the word is supposed to be "of".

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) The invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-4, 7-11, 14-16 and 19-20 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jones (US 5859642).

Page 2

Art Unit: 2635

Regarding claim 1, Jones, who teaches a virtual button interface, discloses a keypad comprising a plurality of keys (a panel of buttons) each having at least one predetermined function for a respective plurality of selective operations (col. 1, lines 60-67); and an indicating arrangement for identifying a selected one of the plurality of keys (col. 2, lines 47-51).

Regarding claim 2, Jones teaches the keypad wherein the indicating arrangement indicates an active operational state of the selected key in that which the indication is highlighting (col. 2, lines 23-25 and Fig. 3).

Regarding claims 3 and 4, Jones teaches a color means for producing a predetermined color on the selected key wherein the indicating arrangement comprises actuable and an illumination element (col. 3, lines 6-9).

Regarding claims 7, 14 and 19, Jones teaches a keypad wherein the indicating arrangement comprises at least one virtual key (virtual button) selectively programmable to emulate at least one predetermined function of the selected key (col. 3, lines 36-41).

Regarding claim 8, Jones teaches the virtual key is displaced from the plurality of keys in that which the virtual key may be moved by the user opposed to being fixed to a panel of keys (col. 2, line 66 – col. 3, line 1).

Regarding claims 9-11, Jones teaches the indicating arrangement further comprises means for producing a visible distinction on at least one of the selected key and the virtual key (col. 3, lines 6-9).

Regarding claims 15 and 16, Jones teaches the indicating arrangement further comprises means for producing a visible distinction on at least one of a predetermined virtual key and its respective counterpart selected key (col. 3, lines 24-33 and 49-54).

Art Unit: 2635

Regarding claim 20, Jones teaches a plurality of keys each having at least one predetermined function for a respective plurality of selective operations (col. 1, lines 60-67); and an indicating arrangement for identifying a selected one of the plurality of keys (col. 2, lines 47-51), wherein the indicating arrangement comprises at least one virtual key selectively programmable to emulate the at least one predetermined function of the selected key (col. 3, lines 36-41).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC \$ 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 5-6, 12-13, and 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jones in view of Beaton et al. (US 6037937).

Regarding claims 5 and 12, Jones teaches an indicating arrangement wherein the indication of the selected key is a luminous highlighted display (col. 2, lines 47-51); however, Jones does not disclose a means for displaying indicia on the selected key.

However, Beaton, who teaches a navigation tool for graphical user interface (GUI), teaches an indicating arrangement wherein the displaying indicia is in the form of a liquid crystal display (LCD) with a backlight of the display module 470 (col. 4, lines 35-38). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the displaying indicia of Beaton into the display of Jones, because Jones teaches a

luminous display of the selected key, whereas Beaton teaches an LCD on the touch screen, which displays information in response to a selected key.

Regarding claims 6 and 13, Jones teaches keypad (panel of buttons) of a computer, which is known to comprise displaying indicia comprises an actuable alphanumeric display (col. 1, lines 47-49 and 60-67).

Regarding claims 17 and 18, Jones teaches the means for producing a visible distinction of color and/or illumination (col. 2, lines 47-51); however, Jones does not disclose a means for actually selecting from at least one of differences in color and illumination.

However, Beaton discloses a means for selecting from at least one of differences in color and illumination (col. 5, lines 22-24). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a means of selecting differences in color and/or illumination as Beaton teaches into the visible distinction mean of Jones, because Jones teaches that color and illumination indicates a selected key, whereas Beaton teaches a means to select variations of color and/or illumination as a means to make visibility more suitable for the user.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kimberly Jenkins whose telephone number is 571.272.3064. The examiner can normally be reached from Monday – Friday between the hours of 7am - 3:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Horabik can be reached on 703.305.4704. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2635

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kimberly Jenkins Examiner Art Unit 2635 2 November 2004

KYJ

MICHAEL HORABIK SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

metal Hold